

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TEHRAN 05442 080342Z
ACTION OES-07

INFO OCT-01 NEA-11 ISO-00 ACDA-12 CIAE-00 INR-10 IO-13
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-08 NRC-05 SOE-02 DODE-00
DOE-15 SS-15 SP-02 CEQ-01 PM-05 /115 W
-----107608 080349Z /21

P 071135Z JUN 78
FM AMEMBASSY TEHRAN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8773

C O N F I D E N T I A L TEHRAN 05442

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: MNRC, IAEC, ENRG, TECH, IR
SUBJ: BILATERAL AGREEMENT FOR NUCLEAR COOPERATION--
RETRANSFER PROVISIONS

1. IN EMBASSY MEETING JUNE 4 WITH ETEMAD, HE RAISED THE
RETRANSFER PROVISIONS IN CURRENT DRAFT AGREEMENT. HE SAID
THAT U.S. APPROVAL OF PERMANENT TRANSFER TO THIRD COUNTRIES
WAS COMPLETELY ACCEPTABLE. WHAT CONCERNED HIM, HE SAID,
WAS NECESSITY OF U.S. APPROVAL OF EACH STEP WHEN IRAN
ITSELF WAS THE ULTIMATE USER. FOR EXAMPLE, HE SAID, IRAN
MIGHT PURCHASE YELLOW CAKE FROM THE U.S., SHIP IT TO FRANCE
FOR ENRICHMENT, ELSEWHERE FOR FABRICATION, AND THEN TO
IRAN FOR USE. CURRENT U.S. PRACTICE WOULD APPEAR TO CALL
FOR MULTIPLE APPROVALS. THIS RAISES PROSPECT FOR DELAYS
WHICH WOULD UNDERMINE SCHEDULES FOR FINAL FABRICATION AND
INSERTION INTO REACTOR ON A TIMELY BASIS, IN IRAN. ETEMAD
THEN ASKED MANZOOR TO DRAFT LANGUAGE TO COVER THIS SITUATION.

2. PROPOSED LANGUAGE GIVEN TO SCIATT FOLLOWING DAY BY
MANZOOR FOLLOWS: BEGIN QUOTE: WITH REFERENCE TO THE
MATERIAL TRANSFERRED BY THE U.S. PURSUANT TO THE
AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT SUCH MATERIAL MAY
BE RETRANSFERRED FOR CONVERSION, ENRICHMENT UP TO
TWENTY PERCENT IN THE ISOTOPE 235, FABRICATION, OR OTHER
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TEHRAN 05442 080342Z

PROCESSING IN THE PREPARATION OF FUEL ELEMENTS FOR USE
IN IRAN, PROVIDED THAT QUANTITIES OF NUCLEAR FUEL
CORRESPONDING TO THE RETRANSFERRED MATERIAL ARE
RETURNED TO IRAN AND, FURTHERMORE, PROVIDED THAT THE
SAFEGUARDABLE BYPRODUCTS ARE LEFT IN OR SENT TO A
COUNTRY WHICH HAS A SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT WITH THE U.S.
WITH REFERENCE TO RETRANSFER OF U.S. SUPPLIED MATERIAL

BY IRAN, FOR USE, LONG TERM STORAGE, OR FINAL DISPOSAL IN A COUNTRY BEYOND IRAN'S JURISDICTION, THE U.S. WILL NOT WITHHOLD ITS AGREEMENT SAVE IN EXCEPTION CASES, PROVIDED THAT SUCH COUNTRY HAS A SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT WITH THE U.S. IN SUCH EXCEPTION CASES WHERE THE U.S. WITHHOLDS ITS AGREEMENT TO THE RETRANSFER, IT WILL ADVISE IRAN OF THE REASONS FOR SUCH DECISION. END QUOTE.

3. WHILE IN EMBASSY VIEW, THE FIRST SENTENCE IS FAIR ATTEMPT TO COVER THE SITUATION DISCUSSED WITH DR. ETEMAD, IT IS NOT COMPLETELY ACCURATE, IN THAT QUANTITIES OF NUCLEAR FUEL RETURNED TO IRAN WHICH CORRESPOND TO THE RETRANSFERRED MATERIAL ARE NOT WELL DEFINED. URANIUM, AFTER LEAVING THE U.S. AND GOING, FOR EXAMPLE, TO EURODIF FOR UPGRADING, IS NOT IN THE SAME QUANTITY AND CERTAINLY NOT SAME COMPOSITION, AS THAT INSERTED INTO REACTOR, LESS SAFEGUARDABLE BY-PRODUCTS. CONCEPT HOWEVER, IS CLEAR ENOUGH AND WELL UNDERSTOOD BY BOTH PARTIES.

4. IN THE SECOND SENTENCE OF MANZOOR (TABIRI) FORMULATION, AEOI ADDRESS RETRANSFER OF U.S. SUPPLIED URANIUM WHICH FLOWS OTHER THAN INTO IRAN'S REACTORS, E.G. INTO THIRD COUNTRIES OR INTO THE U.S. WE DO NOT KNOW WHAT IS MEANT BY "USE" OF SUCH RETRANSFERRED MATERIAL BY THIRD COUNTRIES; HOWEVER, STORAGE AND/OR FINAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TEHRAN 05442 080342Z

DISPOSAL ARE SELF EVIDENT. "USE" COULD MEAN IRRADIATION AS BUT ONE INTERPRETATION, BY EMBASSY. EMBASSY BELIEVES SECOND SENTENCE WOULD ALLOW HANDLING OF SPENT FUEL IN SUCH A WAY AS TO UNDERMINE OTHER ASPECTS OF AGREEMENT.

5. SCIATT WAS ASSURED BY TABIRI IN SEPARATE TELCON THAT IF OVERLAP OF ANY KIND IS INFERRED FROM SECOND SENTENCE, LANGUAGE COULD BE CHANGED TO REMOVE SUCH INCORRECT INFERENCE. EMBASSY BELIEVES THAT, IF U.S. REQUIRES DELETION OF SECOND SENTENCE ALTOGETHER, WITH APPROPRIATE SUBSTITUTE LANGUAGE PROVIDED BY WASHINGTON, AEOI WOULD BE AGREEABLE.

6. PLEASE PROVIDE GUIDANCE SOONEST.
SULLIVAN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS, NUCLEAR FUELS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 jun 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978TEHRAN05442
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780238-1130
Format: TEL
From: TEHRAN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780684/aaaacuiw.tel
Line Count: 109
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: c2cbcc8f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20 jul 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2447001
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: BILATERAL AGREEMENT FOR NUCLEAR COOPERATION-- RETRANSFER PROVISIONS
TAGS: PFOR, MNUC, ENRG, TECH, IR, IAEC
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/c2cbcc8f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014